



# A Short Review on Harnessing Bioinformatics for Food Safety: Computational Approaches to Detecting Foodborne Pathogens

Syaiful Khoiri <sup>1,\*</sup>, Victor Davy Moussango <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Agroecotechnology, Universitas Trunojoyo Madura, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup> Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Sciences, University of Douala, Cameroon

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received October 12, 2024

Revised December 11, 2024

Published December 30, 2024

### Keywords:

Bioinformatics;

Foodborne Pathogens;

Next-Generation Sequencing;

Machine Learning in Food Safety;

Whole Genome Sequencing;

## ABSTRACT

Foodborne diseases remain a significant global public health concern, affecting millions annually and causing substantial economic losses. Traditional microbiological methods for pathogen detection, such as culture-based identification and polymerase chain reaction, are often time-consuming and lack sensitivity. The integration of bioinformatics and high-throughput sequencing technologies, including next-generation sequencing and metagenomics, has revolutionized foodborne pathogen detection by enabling rapid, accurate, and culture-independent identification. Machine learning and artificial intelligence further enhance food safety monitoring through predictive modeling and risk assessment, facilitating early outbreak detection and improved contamination control. Whole genome sequencing has emerged as a gold standard for public health surveillance, allowing for precise pathogen characterization and antimicrobial resistance tracking. Data-sharing networks, such as GenomeTrakr and PulseNet, have strengthened global collaboration, enhancing real-time pathogen monitoring. However, challenges persist in data integration, technical expertise, and infrastructure development, which hinder the widespread adoption of these technologies. Addressing these barriers requires standardized protocols, AI-driven predictive models, and interdisciplinary collaboration between public health, industry, and academia. As bioinformatics continues to evolve, its role in pathogen surveillance, outbreak prevention, and food safety management will become increasingly vital. Advancements in bioinformatics tools and AI-driven approaches will ensure a more efficient, data-driven, and globally coordinated response to foodborne disease threats.

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/)



## Corresponding Author:

Syaiful Khoiri, Universitas Trunojoyo Madura, Indonesia

Email: [syaiful.khoiri@trunojoyo.ac.id](mailto:syaiful.khoiri@trunojoyo.ac.id)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Foodborne diseases pose a significant global threat to public health and economic stability, with an estimated 600 million cases annually worldwide [1]. The increasing complexity of the food supply chain, coupled with the rise of antimicrobial resistance in foodborne pathogens, has necessitated the development of more advanced and efficient detection methods. Conventional microbiological techniques, such as culture-based identification and polymerase chain reaction (PCR), have been widely used for pathogen detection;

however, these methods are often time-consuming, labor-intensive, and may lack the sensitivity required for early outbreak prevention [2]. The emergence of bioinformatics, integrated with high-throughput sequencing technologies, has provided a novel computational framework for improving the accuracy and efficiency of pathogen surveillance in food safety.

The application of bioinformatics in foodborne pathogen detection encompasses multiple computational approaches, including nucleic acid-based detection, next-generation sequencing (NGS), and metagenomics. Bioinformatics tools facilitate the design of highly specific primers and probes for PCR-based assays, improving the accuracy of microbial detection [3]. Furthermore, NGS technologies have enabled the comprehensive characterization of microbial genomes, allowing for precise strain differentiation and the detection of virulence factors [4]. The integration of metagenomics further enhances food safety monitoring by enabling the identification of entire microbial communities in food products without the need for prior culturing, thus broadening the scope of pathogen surveillance [5].

Advancements in machine learning and artificial intelligence have further augmented bioinformatics-based food safety approaches. Predictive models trained on high-throughput sequencing (HTS) data enable real-time risk assessment and outbreak prediction, improving foodborne disease surveillance [6]. AI-driven risk assessment frameworks integrate multiple data sources to enhance early warning systems, reducing the likelihood of widespread contamination and foodborne illness outbreaks. Moreover, the implementation of whole genome sequencing (WGS) in public health surveillance has facilitated pathogen characterization, antimicrobial resistance tracking, and outbreak investigation, with data-sharing initiatives such as GenomeTrakr and PulseNet playing a crucial role in global food safety monitoring [7].

Despite these advancements, challenges remain in integrating bioinformatics-driven approaches into routine food safety monitoring. The lack of standardized analytical pipelines, computational infrastructure, and trained bioinformatics professionals poses significant barriers to widespread adoption [8]. Additionally, the effective integration of genomic surveillance data into regulatory frameworks requires interdisciplinary collaboration among microbiologists, bioinformaticians, and public health policymakers. Addressing these challenges is essential for ensuring the scalability and sustainability of bioinformatics-based pathogen detection in food safety.

This review provides a comprehensive overview of the application of bioinformatics in foodborne pathogen detection, focusing on computational approaches, machine learning techniques, and recent advancements in genomic surveillance. Furthermore, we discuss the challenges associated with data integration, technical expertise, and infrastructure requirements, while highlighting future perspectives on the role of AI and interdisciplinary collaboration in advancing food safety management.

## 2. COMPUTATIONAL APPROACHES

### 2.1. Nucleic Acid-Based Detection

Nucleic acid-based detection plays a crucial role in foodborne pathogen identification, relying on polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and related molecular techniques. Bioinformatics tools are essential in designing highly specific primers and probes, enhancing the sensitivity and accuracy of these methods. Automated applications, such as the Foodborne Pathogen Primer Probe (FBPP) design tool, streamline primer selection, reducing human error and optimizing detection efficiency [9]. These computational approaches significantly improve the reliability of PCR-based assays, enabling faster and more precise pathogen identification in food safety monitoring.

The integration of bioinformatics in nucleic acid-based detection facilitates rapid screening of microbial contaminants, offering advantages over traditional culture-dependent techniques. By leveraging large-scale genomic databases, bioinformatics enhances the adaptability of detection assays to emerging and genetically diverse pathogens. Moreover, the automation of primer and probe design accelerates assay development, contributing to improved disease surveillance and outbreak prevention. As bioinformatics continues to advance, its role in optimizing molecular detection strategies will be essential for strengthening global food safety measures.

### 2.2. Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS)

Next-generation sequencing (NGS) has become a fundamental tool in food safety, enabling comprehensive genome construction, microbial function prediction, and routine surveillance of foodborne pathogens [10]. Unlike traditional culture-dependent techniques, NGS provides high-throughput, rapid, and accurate pathogen identification, facilitating early detection and outbreak prevention. By sequencing entire

microbial genomes, NGS allows for precise strain differentiation, identification of virulence factors, and antimicrobial resistance profiling, making it an indispensable approach in modern foodborne pathogen monitoring [11].

The adoption of NGS in food safety has significantly enhanced surveillance efforts by offering a culture-independent, scalable, and data-driven approach. Through metagenomic and whole genome sequencing (WGS) applications, food safety authorities can analyze complex microbial communities and track pathogen evolution in real time [12]. The ability to generate vast amounts of sequencing data, coupled with bioinformatics analysis, enables improved traceability of contamination sources and strengthens food safety management. As NGS technologies continue to advance, their integration into regulatory frameworks will further enhance global foodborne pathogen surveillance and risk assessment.

### 2.3. Metagenomics

Metagenomics enables the identification of entire microbial communities in food samples, offering a culture-independent and comprehensive approach to foodborne pathogen detection [13]. By analyzing all genetic material present in a sample, metagenomics provides insights into microbial diversity, including the presence of both known and emerging pathogens. This method eliminates the need for culturing, allowing for faster and more accurate microbial profiling, which is crucial for early outbreak detection and food safety monitoring.

The application of metagenomics in food safety enhances surveillance by uncovering complex microbial interactions and detecting pathogens that may be overlooked by traditional methods [7]. This approach also supports antimicrobial resistance tracking and functional gene analysis, improving risk assessment and contamination source identification. As sequencing technologies and bioinformatics tools continue to evolve, metagenomics is expected to become a cornerstone of pathogen surveillance, strengthening global food safety frameworks.

## 3. MACHINE LEARNING TECHNIQUES

### 3.1. Predictive Models

Machine learning algorithms play a crucial role in predicting and managing foodborne disease outbreaks by analyzing large-scale datasets generated from high-throughput sequencing (HTS) [14] [15]. These models can identify patterns, detect emerging pathogens, and assess contamination risks with high accuracy. By leveraging genomic and environmental data, machine learning enhances early warning systems, enabling rapid intervention and reducing the spread of foodborne illnesses [8].

The integration of machine learning in food safety allows for real-time risk assessment and predictive modeling, improving decision-making for food safety authorities. AI-driven algorithms can analyze complex microbial datasets, classify pathogens, and optimize surveillance strategies. As machine learning techniques continue to advance, their application in foodborne pathogen detection and outbreak prevention will further strengthen global food safety efforts.

### 3.2. Risk Assessment

Artificial intelligence enhances food safety management by integrating diverse data sources to predict risks associated with foodborne pathogens [16] [8]. By analyzing genomic, environmental, and epidemiological data, AI-driven models can identify contamination patterns, detect emerging threats, and improve early warning systems. These predictive capabilities allow for proactive risk assessment, enabling faster responses to potential outbreaks and reducing public health risks.

The application of AI in food safety supports automated decision-making and real-time monitoring, optimizing pathogen detection and contamination control strategies. By continuously learning from vast datasets, AI systems improve the accuracy of risk predictions and help regulatory agencies implement more effective food safety interventions. As AI technologies evolve, their role in enhancing foodborne pathogen surveillance and outbreak prevention will become increasingly essential.

## 4. RECENT ADVANCEMENTS IN GENOMIC SURVEILLANCE

### 4.1. Whole Genome Sequencing

Whole Genome Sequencing (WGS) has become a gold standard in public health surveillance, enabling the detailed characterization of foodborne pathogens, outbreak investigation, and antimicrobial resistance tracking [17]. By sequencing entire microbial genomes, WGS provides high-resolution data for identifying strain variations, virulence factors, and resistance genes. This comprehensive approach enhances pathogen traceability and allows for rapid detection of contamination sources, improving outbreak response and containment efforts.

The widespread adoption of WGS in food safety has significantly strengthened pathogen surveillance and risk assessment [18]. Through global data-sharing initiatives, such as GenomeTrakr and PulseNet, WGS facilitates real-time collaboration among regulatory agencies and researchers, leading to faster and more effective public health interventions. As sequencing costs decrease and bioinformatics tools advance, WGS is expected to play an even greater role in ensuring food safety and preventing the spread of resistant foodborne pathogens.

### 4.2. Data Sharing Networks

Data-sharing networks such as GenomeTrakr and PulseNet play a critical role in enhancing foodborne pathogen surveillance by enabling the rapid exchange of genomic data among researchers, regulatory agencies, and public health institutions [7]. These initiatives allow for real-time tracking of pathogen evolution, outbreak sources, and antimicrobial resistance patterns, facilitating timely interventions and containment strategies to prevent the spread of foodborne illnesses.

By leveraging large-scale genomic databases, these networks improve global collaboration and food safety management, ensuring that outbreaks are detected and addressed more efficiently. The integration of Whole Genome Sequencing data into these platforms enables high-resolution pathogen characterization, strengthening traceability and risk assessment efforts [19]. As foodborne disease surveillance becomes increasingly data-driven, expanding and optimizing data-sharing networks will be essential for improving public health outcomes and global food security.

## 5. CHALLENGES

The integration of high-throughput sequencing (HTS) and metagenomic data into existing food safety systems presents significant challenges due to the need for standardized analytical protocols and efficient data-sharing mechanisms [7]. While HTS and metagenomics offer a culture-independent, high-resolution approach to foodborne pathogen detection, their implementation in routine food safety monitoring requires harmonized workflows to ensure data consistency, reproducibility, and regulatory compliance. The lack of globally accepted standards for sequencing, data processing, and interpretation hampers interoperability across laboratories and regulatory agencies, making it difficult to integrate metagenomic surveillance into existing foodborne disease monitoring frameworks [15]. Additionally, data-sharing limitations due to privacy concerns, proprietary sequencing databases, and jurisdictional differences further complicate efforts to establish a comprehensive global pathogen surveillance system.

Another critical barrier is the technical expertise required to operate advanced bioinformatics tools and interpret complex sequencing data [20]. Unlike conventional microbiological methods, bioinformatics-driven approaches rely on computational pipelines for genome assembly, taxonomic classification, and functional annotation, demanding specialized knowledge in bioinformatics, genomics, and data science. Many food safety laboratories, especially in low-resource settings, face challenges in recruiting and training personnel with the necessary expertise to analyze large-scale sequencing datasets and extract actionable insights [21]. Furthermore, the complexity of bioinformatics software, which often requires extensive command-line proficiency and scripting capabilities, creates additional obstacles to widespread adoption. Addressing this skills gap will require capacity-building initiatives, interdisciplinary training programs, and user-friendly computational tools to bridge the knowledge divide and facilitate the effective use of sequencing technologies in food safety.

Beyond technical challenges, sustained funding and infrastructure development are essential to support the widespread adoption of genomic surveillance technologies. Implementing HTS and metagenomics at scale requires investment in high-performance computing (HPC) infrastructure, cloud-based bioinformatics platforms, and sequencing facilities, which can be cost-prohibitive for many public health agencies and food testing laboratories [19]. Additionally, the maintenance of long-term genomic surveillance programs depends

on consistent funding for sequencing reagents, laboratory personnel, and data storage solutions. Governments and international organizations must prioritize financial support for genomics-based food safety initiatives, ensuring that resource-limited regions can access the necessary technologies for real-time pathogen monitoring and outbreak prevention. Strengthening public-private partnerships and fostering international collaboration will be crucial in overcoming financial and infrastructural barriers, enabling the full potential of bioinformatics-driven food safety surveillance.

## 6. FUTURE PERSPECTIVE

The continued development of bioinformatics tools is crucial for enhancing the accuracy and efficiency of pathogen detection in food safety. Advances in computational methods, including improved primer and probe design tools, metagenomic analysis pipelines, and automated annotation systems, have significantly reduced the time and complexity of microbial identification. As sequencing technologies evolve, bioinformatics tools must be optimized to handle larger datasets, improve taxonomic resolution, and integrate with real-time surveillance platforms. The incorporation of cloud-based bioinformatics solutions and automated data processing workflows will further streamline foodborne pathogen detection, making these approaches more accessible for public health agencies and food testing laboratories [9].

Artificial intelligence and machine learning are set to play an increasingly pivotal role in predictive modeling, risk assessment, and the development of new pathogen detection methods [8]. These technologies enhance food safety management by analyzing high-throughput sequencing data, identifying contamination patterns, and predicting potential outbreaks with high precision. AI-driven systems can also automate pathogen classification, antimicrobial resistance tracking, and real-time decision-making, significantly reducing human intervention and improving response times. By integrating AI with genomic surveillance frameworks, food safety authorities can develop adaptive and scalable pathogen monitoring systems, minimizing the risks associated with foodborne diseases.

Addressing food safety challenges requires interdisciplinary collaboration across public health, industry, and academia. Effective foodborne pathogen surveillance depends on coordinated efforts between bioinformaticians, microbiologists, regulatory agencies, and food industry stakeholders to ensure data standardization, regulatory compliance, and the development of user-friendly bioinformatics tools [22]. Collaborative research initiatives, global data-sharing networks, and cross-sector partnerships will be essential in bridging knowledge gaps, enhancing pathogen surveillance frameworks, and improving the overall resilience of food safety systems [23]. As foodborne disease threats continue to evolve, strengthening interdisciplinary networks will be vital in advancing bioinformatics-driven solutions and safeguarding global food security.

## 7. Conclusion

Bioinformatics has revolutionized food safety by enabling faster and more accurate detection of foodborne pathogens through nucleic acid-based detection, next-generation sequencing, metagenomics, and machine learning. Advances in whole genome sequencing and data-sharing networks like GenomeTrakr and PulseNet have further strengthened surveillance and outbreak prevention, making pathogen monitoring more efficient and data-driven. Despite its potential, challenges such as lack of standardized protocols, technical expertise, and infrastructure remain barriers to widespread adoption. Addressing these requires enhanced bioinformatics tools, AI-driven predictive models, and interdisciplinary collaboration. As these technologies evolve, bioinformatics will play an increasingly critical role in safeguarding global food security and mitigating foodborne disease risks.

## REFERENCES

- [1] I. I. Koire, "Food-Borne Diseases," in *Food Safety*, Istanbul: Nobel Tip Kitabevleri, 2024, pp. 267–288. doi: [10.69860/nobel.9786053358787.18](https://doi.org/10.69860/nobel.9786053358787.18).
- [2] Y. Zhao *et al.*, "Application of recombinase polymerase amplification with lateral flow assay to pathogen point-of-care diagnosis," *Front Cell Infect Microbiol*, vol. 14, Nov. 2024, doi: [10.3389/fcimb.2024.1475922](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2024.1475922).
- [3] K. S. Algrooni, H. M. Alhaidan, H. A. Hamzah, M. F. Almarzouqi, and A. M. Hamad, "Innovative approaches to microbial identification enhancing accuracy and speed in infectious disease diagnostics,"

- Int J Community Med Public Health*, vol. 11, no. 8, pp. 3231–3234, Jul. 2024, doi: [10.18203/2394-6040.ijcmph20241966](https://doi.org/10.18203/2394-6040.ijcmph20241966).
- [4] P. M. Thwe, P. Ren, and M. Loeffelholz, “Genomic analysis of microbial infections,” in *Molecular Medical Microbiology*, Elsevier, 2024, pp. 1907–1929. doi: [10.1016/B978-0-12-818619-0.00063-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818619-0.00063-0).
- [5] J. Sadurski, M. Polak-Berecka, A. Staniszewski, and A. Waśko, “Step-by-Step Metagenomics for Food Microbiome Analysis: A Detailed Review,” *Foods*, vol. 13, no. 14, p. 2216, Jul. 2024, doi: [10.3390/foods13142216](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods13142216).
- [6] S. Oh, H. Byeon, and J. Wijaya, “Machine learning surveillance of foodborne infectious diseases using wastewater microbiome, crowdsourced, and environmental data,” *Water Res*, vol. 265, p. 122282, Nov. 2024, doi: [10.1016/j.watres.2024.122282](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2024.122282).
- [7] M. W. Allard *et al.*, “Molecular Techniques in Foodborne Disease Surveillance,” in *Encyclopedia of Food Safety*, Elsevier, 2024, pp. 61–85. doi: [10.1016/B978-0-12-822521-9.00198-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-822521-9.00198-2).
- [8] S. Naydenova, L. de Luca, and S. Yamadjako, “Envisioning the expertise of the future†,” *EFSA Journal*, vol. 17, Jul. 2019, doi: [10.2903/j.efsa.2019.e170721](https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2019.e170721).
- [9] M. A. Soliman, M. S. Azab, H. A. Hussein, M. M. Roushdy, and M. N. Abu el-naga, “FBPP: software to design PCR primers and probes for nucleic acid base detection of foodborne pathogens,” *Sci Rep*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 1229, Jan. 2024, doi: [10.1038/s41598-024-51372-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-51372-5).
- [10] C. Billington, J. M. Kingsbury, and L. Rivas, “Metagenomics Approaches for Improving Food Safety: A Review,” *J Food Prot*, vol. 85, no. 3, pp. 448–464, Mar. 2022, doi: [10.4315/JFP-21-301](https://doi.org/10.4315/JFP-21-301).
- [11] G. Banerjee *et al.*, “Application of advanced genomic tools in food safety rapid diagnostics: challenges and opportunities,” *Curr Opin Food Sci*, vol. 47, p. 100886, Oct. 2022, doi: [10.1016/j.cofs.2022.100886](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cofs.2022.100886).
- [12] A. Cabal *et al.*, “Whole Genome Sequencing for Food Safety, Clinical and Public Health Microbiology,” 2024, pp. 865–873. doi: [10.1007/978-3-031-49062-0\\_90](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-49062-0_90).
- [13] L. Sheng, J. Ji, X. Song, X. Wu, and X. Sun, “Advances in Artificial Intelligence-Assisted Proactive Prevention and Control of Food Safety,” *Journal of Chinese Institute of Food Science and Technology*, vol. 24, no. 10, pp. 14–27, 2024, doi: [10.16429/j.1009-7848.2024.10.002](https://doi.org/10.16429/j.1009-7848.2024.10.002).
- [14] K. Ntakirutimana G., “The Use of AI in Predicting Disease Outbreaks,” *Research Output Journal of Biological and Applied Science*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 19–22, Sep. 2024, doi: [10.59298/ROJBAS/2024/421922](https://doi.org/10.59298/ROJBAS/2024/421922).
- [15] K. Gensheimer *et al.*, “Genomic Surveillance of Foodborne Pathogens: Advances and Obstacles,” *Journal of Public Health Management & Practice*, Nov. 2024, doi: [10.1097/PHH.0000000000002090](https://doi.org/10.1097/PHH.0000000000002090).
- [16] V. Zatsu *et al.*, “Revolutionizing the food industry: The transformative power of artificial intelligence—a review,” *Food Chem X*, vol. 24, p. 101867, Dec. 2024, doi: [10.1016/j.fochx.2024.101867](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fochx.2024.101867).
- [17] M. Begley and C. Hill, “Food Safety: What Can We Learn From Genomics?,” *Annu Rev Food Sci Technol*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 341–361, Apr. 2010, doi: [10.1146/annurev.food.080708.100739](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.food.080708.100739).
- [18] M. D. Weinroth, B. C. Britton, and K. E. Belk, “Genetics and microbiology of meat,” *Meat Sci*, vol. 144, pp. 15–21, Oct. 2018, doi: [10.1016/j.meatsci.2018.04.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2018.04.017).
- [19] B. Imanian *et al.*, “The power, potential, benefits, and challenges of implementing high-throughput sequencing in food safety systems,” *NPJ Sci Food*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 35, Aug. 2022, doi: [10.1038/s41538-022-00150-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41538-022-00150-6).
- [20] J. Kovac, “Precision Food Safety: a Paradigm Shift in Detection and Control of Foodborne Pathogens,” *mSystems*, vol. 4, no. 3, Jun. 2019, doi: [10.1128/mSystems.00164-19](https://doi.org/10.1128/mSystems.00164-19).
- [21] H. G. Kristinsson and H. Ó. Jörundsdóttir, “Food in the bioeconomy,” *Trends Food Sci Technol*, vol. 84, pp. 4–6, Feb. 2019, doi: [10.1016/j.tifs.2018.10.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2018.10.011).
- [22] L. Deblais, D. Kathayat, Y. A. Helmy, G. Closs, and G. Rajashekara, “Translating ‘big data’: better understanding of host-pathogen interactions to control bacterial foodborne pathogens in poultry,” *Anim Health Res Rev*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 15–35, Jun. 2020, doi: [10.1017/S1466252319000124](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1466252319000124).
- [23] U. Andjelković, M. Šrajer Gajdošik, D. Gašo-Sokač, T. Martinović, and D. Josić, “Foodomics and Food Safety: Where We Are,” *Food Technol Biotechnol*, vol. 55, no. 3, 2017, doi: [10.17113/ftb.55.03.17.5044](https://doi.org/10.17113/ftb.55.03.17.5044).